



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,063	10/16/2003	Thomas F. Conroy	MCEA-P3-03	8910

28710 7590 07/17/2008  
PETER K. TRZYNA, ESQ.  
P O BOX 7131  
CHICAGO, IL 60680

EXAMINER
----------

SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3691

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/687,063	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CONROY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3691	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bijendra K. Shrestha.

(3) Peter K. Trzyna, ESQ.

(2) Narayanswamy Subramanian.

(4) Thomas F Conroy; Steven A. Eisenberg, Inventors.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hinkley Jr and Mills et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants presented salient features of the instant application; Examiner indicated possible USC 101 and 112 rejections, and intended meaning in claim 1; Applicant will submit amendments and Examiner will do further search, if necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required